

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			9987	
09/838,344	09/15/2000	Morteza Gharib	06618/512001/CIT3076		
20703	90 08/22/2003		EXAMINER		
4350 LA JOLL	IARDSON, PC A VILLAGE DRIVE		SNOW, W	ALTER E	
SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, 0	EGO, CA 92122 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER		
,			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
i		09/838,344		GHARIB ET AL.			
`\	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
•		Walter E. Sno	w	2862			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a round for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory iod will apply and will exatute, cause the applicational ingular date of this communication.	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) 🗔	This action is FINAL 2b)	This action is no	n-final.	" to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	tion of Claims	tion					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the	drawn from cons	ideration.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
1	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	,—						
7) 📙	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and	1/or election requ	irement.				
	tion Papers	70. 2.2					
0\	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) \square \circ	bjected to by the Ex	caminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) b	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.05(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∐ ap _l	proved b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Offi	ce action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			art diart			
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
ļ	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ae provisional ap	polication has been	received.			
Attachm							
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) No(s)	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
L	and Trademark Office	ffice Action Summa		Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,344

Art Unit: 2862

4

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I. The species of fig. 1;

II. The species of fig. 5;

III. The species of fig. 6A;

IV. The species of fig. 6B;

and V. The species of fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 2862

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Snow/ek

WALTER E. SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER

08/19/03